

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/674,774	Applicant(s) NEIL ET AL.
	Examiner Carlos Lopez	Art Unit 1731

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Pending(1) Carlos Lopez

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Robert Clark

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 January 2005**Time:** 2pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23,25,27,28, and 30-31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 1/16/05  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claims 23,25,27,28, and 30-31 to read that the assembly being sintered and heated is an arc tube assembly formed by the insertion of an end cap of a capillary tube into an open end of a hollow arc tube. Previously, the claims did not clearly note that the assembly being sintered and heated was an assembly formed in step b of claims 23 and 28 of the instant application. Instead, the originally filed claims noted that the transient assembly button was the assembly being heated and sintered.